## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

)	
)	
)	Criminal No. 01-455-A
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )

## ORDER

On June 21, 2002, the defendant, <u>pro se</u>, filed an untitled pleading (Docket #204) which we will treat as a Motion to Correct June 18, 2002 Order in which he properly argues that our June 18, 2002 Order is, in part, based on an incorrect reading of his Emergency Motion for Immediate Release from Detention and the Dropping of All Charges (Docket #185).

Defendant's <u>pro</u> <u>se</u> pleadings are handwritten in a script which is sometimes difficult to read. He now explains that rather than seeking to "suppress" certain evidence, he was moving to "subpoena" the evidence. Because we misread the defendant's pleading, his Motion to Correct June 18, 2002 Order is GRANTED, and it is hereby

ORDERED that the portion of the June 18, 2002 Order dealing with the suppression of evidence be and is VACATED; and it is further

ORDERED that the United States respond to defendant's

request for production of that evidence.

The defendant also requests in an untitled pleading (Docket # 207), which we will treat as a Motion for an Extension of Time in Which to File Pretrial Motions, that the June 24, 2002 deadline for filing pretrial motions be extended until jury selection and trial to allow defendant sufficient time to prepare motions.

Defendant created his pretrial preparation problem by refusing to cooperate with his court-appointed lawyers, who have been investigating the case for months. They prepared several pretrial motions which were forwarded to the defendant who should consider filing them <u>pro</u> <u>se</u> to ensure that he is not unduly prejudiced by his decision to waive counsel.

Pretrial motions must be filed and resolved before trial to enable the parties to have a clear picture of the contours of the case. The defendant's decision to represent himself does not give him a license to delay the proceedings. See United States

v. Singleton, 107 F.3d 1091, 1102 (4th Cir. 1997). However, recognizing the gravity of the charges the defendant faces, his Motion for an Extension of Time in Which to File Pretrial Motions is GRANTED in part; and it is hereby

ORDERED that the deadline for filing pretrial motions be and is extended to Monday, July 8, 2002. Oral argument, if necessary, will be held on Thursday, July 18, 2002 at 1:00 p.m.

The Clerk is directed to remove oral argument of pretrial motions from the July 11, 2002 calendar and forward copies of this Order to the defendant, pro se; counsel for the United States; stand-by defense counsel; the Court Security Officer; and the United States Marshal.

Entered this 24th day of June, 2002.

/s/

Leonie M. Brinkema United States District Judge

Alexandria, Virginia